KERALA MEDICAL SERVICES CORPORATION LTD
Thycaud.P.O., Thiruvananthapuram-14.
Tele Fax No. 0471-3045647
0471-2337353, 0471-3045600
E-mail id : etenders_ep@kmscl.kerala.gov.in
CIN : U24233KL2007SGC021616
GSTIN: 32AADCK4029M1ZK

Equipment Division **www.kmscl.kerala.gov.in**

No: KMSCL/EP/116/2017 **Dated: 08.08.2017**

NOTICE

Sub:- Tender No. T218/2017- Results of the evaluation of the representations received against the technical evaluation result notice & Date of Price Bid Opening – Fully Automated Random Access Clinical Chemistry Analyzer - reg.

Ref:- 1. Tender Inviting Notice published dated 23.02.2017
2. Technical bid opening on 10.04.2017@ 11.00 A M
3. Clarification notice published on 02.05.2017
4. Eligible list for demonstration published on 29.05.2017
5. Demonstration / document evaluation of Fully Automated Random Access Clinical Chemistry Analyzer conducted till 14.07.2017
6. Result of demonstration published dtd.20.07.2017
7. Representation email received from M/s. Agappe on 24.07.2017
8. Representation email received from M/s. Transasia Bio Medical Ltd on 21 & 24.07.2017

I. With reference to the demonstration result notice published for Fully Automated Random Access Clinical Chemistry Analyzer, representations were received from M/s. Transasia Bio Medical Ltd against the rejection of their offered model XL 1000 and M/s. Agappe Diagnostics Pvt. Ltd against the rejection of their offered model TBA 120 FR. The representations received were evaluated in detail and the findings and decisions of the technical committee are as follows;

The committee carefully examined the reply/ remarks submitted by both the companies and the observations are as follows;

1. M/s. Tranasia Bio Medicals Ltd.

M/s. Transasia biomedical is one of the bidders participated in the tender and they have offered XL 1000 and demonstrated the equipment. The equipment was evaluated with the criteria for evaluation fixed by the committee as in the case of all other offers.

One of the criteria for evaluation during demonstration was two level QC and it was insisted for evaluating the precision of all the equipments by calculating the Coefficient of Variation.

In case of the equipment XL 1000 the value of the Coefficient of Variation was the highest. The Coefficient of Variation is a vital information of an equipment of its kind determines the precision and accuracy of results.

The said evaluation criterion is an internationally accepted method as per the guidelines issued by CLIA. The lesser the CV will give higher precision. According to the standard text books being followed in Clinical Biochemistry (TIETZ Fifth Edition and KAPLAN Fifth Edition)

"When a new method (method also involve evaluation of the features of automated analyzers) is to be introduced to the routine clinical lab a series of evaluation is to be conducted. Assay imprecision is estimated and comparison of the new method vs an existing method or an external comparative method is undertaken. Imprecision must be minimized to maintain maximum quality."

The performance evaluation criteria will not be published as a technical specification as there is a standard protocol for the same. There was no objection of the criteria for evaluation fixed by the technical committee and it was disseminated to all the bidders and according to the instructions stipulated all the bidders had arranged the QC materials and demonstrated the equipment.

The safety/ quality standards insisted are for a batch/ model of equipment and usually the equipment bears the certificate/ emblem. The CE certificate insisted shall be of a notified body in order to verify the genuineness as

there is a number of instances reported claiming the fake CE marks/ declaration.

It is very clear that ERTL is a Govt. of India agency issuing certificate for equipments after conducting various tests and the certificate will have the serial number of that equipment and will be valid only for the equipment tested and certified, which is well accepted.

2. M/s. Agappe Diagnostics Ltd.

The firm themselves have agreed with the observations of the committee for the rejection of their equipment. Any changes/ modification in the equipment to satisfy the requirement of specifications shall not be considered as per existing rules.

Conclusion:

After having a detailed deliberations and careful examination of the reply/ remarks received from the above said bidders, the committee arrived with the following conclusions and decisions.

1. The decisions taken in the last technical committee meeting for the rejections of the offers not conforming to the specifications/ performances shall be strictly adhered.
2. The report/ replies of M/s. Transasia Biomedicals Ltd does not reserves any merit as their claim are not substantiating. Hence their request may be rejected.
3. Since M/s. Agappe Diagnostics Ltd. had accepted the reasons for rejection and their claim for the rectification of the same by modifying the equipment is not acceptable as per rules. Hence their request should not be considered.
4. The equipment indented is for handling a huge volume of samples at Medical Colleges for the conduct of more than 30 vital biochemical parameters of important organs which are determinants of diagnosis and treatment. Hence any compromise on precision of the equipment shall adversely affect the treatment of the patient.
5. It was also appraised that the reasons for rejection of the equipments are strictly in accordance to the Stores Purchase Rules and it was convincing to the bidders. Their arguments are baseless and hence ignored.

II. The details of the tenderers qualified after the evaluation for price bid opeing is tabulated as follows:

Sl. No	Equipment	Selected Tenderer	Selected Model
1	Fully Automated Random Access Clinical Chemistry Analyzer	Beckman Coulter India Private Limited	AU 680
		Universal Agencies	BS800 WITH ISE

III. The online price bid of the eligible tenderers mentioned above will be opened on 11.08.2017 @ 11.00 am at the etender portal.

Dr. Dileep Kumar S R
General Manager