



KERALA MEDICAL SERVICES CORPORATION LTD

(A Government Of Kerala Undertaking)

Thycaud.P.O., Thiruvananthapuram-14.

Tele Fax No. 0471-2945647

0471-2945646, 0471-2945600

E-mail id : eptenders.kmscl@kerala.gov.in

CIN : U24233KL2007SGC021616

GSTIN: 32AADCK4029M1ZK

EP Division

www.kmscl.kerala.gov.in

No: KMSCL/EP/1719/2024

Dated: 27.07.2024

NOTICE

Sub:- Tender No.T526/ 2024 - Results of demonstraion/ document evaluation & Date of price bid opening – various equipment - reg.

Ref:- 1. Tender Inviting Notice published dated 01.02.2024

2. Technical bid opening held on 03.04.2024 & 29.04.2024 @ 11.00 A M

3. Eligible list published on 23.05.2024

4. Reports of demonstration/ document evaluation of various equipment received on 23.07.2024

I. The reports of technical document evaluation/ demonstration of various equipments as per reference no. 4th cited above were submitted by the technical committee appointed for the purpose. The details of the tenderers qualified in the technical evaluation are tabulated as follows:

SI No	Equipment	Name of the Selected Bidder	Selected Models
1.	NCT with Pachymeter	M/s New India Surgicals and Chemicals	CT1P/ Topcon Healthcare
2.	Slit Lamp with 5 Step Magnification and Camera	M/s New India Surgicals and Chemicals	SL-D701/ Topcon
3.	Specular Microscope Type B	M/s My Healthskape Medicals Private Limited	EM 4000/ Tomey Corporation

II. The other tenderers participated in the tender are rejected due to the reasons mentioned against their name below;

1. KMSCL/EP/T526/1702/2024: NCT with Pachymeter

A. M/s Appasamy Associates Pvt Ltd

Rejectd model: TX -20P/ Canon Singapore

Reason for rejection:

Clause No	Technical Specification	Deviations Noted
	Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fair reliability(margin of error upto 6 mm hg) Fair repeatability Pachy data was wrong(CCT) ,almost all cases showed a higher end reading

B. M/s Nethra Instruments

Rejected model; HNT-1P/ Huvitz Co

Reason for rejection;

Clause No	Technical Specification	Deviations Noted
	Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fair reliability (high margin of error upto 9 mm hg) • Fair repeatability • Autoprinter but no cutter • Pachy data is fairly correct

2. KMSCL/EP/T526/1213B/2024: Slit Lamp with 5 Step Magnification and Camera

A. M/s Lumen Mediworks Pvt Ltd

Rejected model:S390L/ SHANGHAI MEDIWORKS

Reason for rejection:

Clause No	Technical Specification	Deviations Noted
	Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The image acquisition is difficult as the image focus in the slit lamp is far different from that of the camera which makes it time consuming. • The buttons provided for the camera are at an uncomfortable place. Making it difficult to manouvre. • IR Filter provided along with a software for MGD assessment though the evaluation parameters not consistent with clinical evaluation.

B. M/s Nethra Instruments

Rejected model; HS 5000/Huvitz

Reason for rejection:

Clause No	Technical Specification	Deviations Noted
	Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The slit lamp maybe cumbersome to be used on a regular basis. • There is no IR Filter for meibomian gland assessment.

3. KMSCL/EP/T526/1015B/2024: Specular Microscope Type B

1. M/s Lumen Mediworks Pvt Ltd

Rejected model: SPM 700/ REXXAM CO LTD

Reason for rejection;

Clause No	Technical Specification	Deviations Noted
	Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Software was getting stuck in between • Time consuming and difficult acquisition even in cases of clear cornea. • Confusing and sometimes wrong analysis • Cell counted in each analysis was low and hence the reliability questionable.(as low as 70 when compared to the usual > 200) • The print out is digital image which may not be good for future references.

2. M/s New India Surgical and Chemicals

Rejected model; SP-1P/ Topcon Healthcare

Reason for rejection:

Clause No	Technical Specification	Deviations Noted
	Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not very user friendly • Needs very good patient cooperation. Hence more time consuming • Picture quality is fair • No inbuilt memory card. Hence comparison not possible easily. • Not able to take in corneal edema and scar cases . • Quality of analysis is good, with good cell count in each analysis . no additional features given such as dark area analysis. • Central, panoramic points analysis was fairly good. But peripheral points though provided were not possible most of the time. The image acquisition software format was also very cumbersome and time consuming.

III. The online price bid of the eligible tenderer mentioned above will be opened on 01.08.2024 @ 11.00 am at the e-tender portal.

Dr. Shibulal A
General Manager

